

**Euston Area Plan Management Board****24<sup>th</sup> February 2014, 1.00pm – 2.30pm** Camden Town Hall Extension, Argyle Street**In attendance**

Ed Watson (EW), LBC  
Charles Snead (CS), TfL  
Martin Scholar (MS), GLA  
Sarah Hunter (SH), HS2  
Donald Horner (DH), Network Rail

Peter Marson (PM), Network Rail  
Paul Gilfedder (PG), HS2  
Louise McBride (LM), LBC  
Mary-Ann Lewis (MAL), PM  
Richard Wilson (RW), LBC  
Jessica Gibbons (JG), LBC

**Note**

1. **Welcome, introductions and apologies**
  - Apologies from Colin Wilson (GLA) Richard McGreevy (TfL) and Lucinda Turner (TfL).
2. **Minutes of previous meeting**
  - All noted the completed actions and notes from the last meeting.
  - Outstanding action to organise Crossrail 2 update briefing to EAP officers. Crossrail 2 likely to be published for consultation this summer.
3. **EAP programme, evaluation & process 2014**
  - MAL presented an update on the next key dates, overall programme and next steps.
  - MAL presented an interim evaluation of the project progress against achieving its key objectives set out in the project brief.
  - All agreed the joint process to produce the document as helpful, and having senior politicians involvement has been constructive.
  - SH highlighted that the EAP process and identification of objectives has been successful in bringing consideration of EAP priorities to the fore in the design process, as the project goes forward.  
EW suggested that a summary of the evaluation work could be forwarded to the Strategic Board for their reference.
4. **HS2 & Network Rail updates**
  - SH presented further work on potential over site development options for above the station.
  - Network Rail confirmed that the Train Operating Companies are now looking into the performance impacts of different options.
  - MS asked for clarifications on timescales for this work.
  - SH highlighted that HS2 and NR will carry on with work on OSD and station design, elements will inform the Higgins review.
5. **Strategic Board and Management Board role**
  - EW noted that importance of the implementation phase and the potential to discuss issues at the 1<sup>st</sup> April Strategic Board.
  - SH clarified that the HS2 team are openly looking at options note entirely covered by the Bill.
  - EW requested that HS2 brief the Leader and Deputy Mayor on emerging work prior to the Strategic Board in April.
  - The future role of the Strategic Board is not confirmed, but implementation options are various. EW asked if the GLA could provide a note on implementation options used for other OAPFs, and also stating the position on the use of MDCs and the Mayor's position on this for Euston.  
MS stated that the Strategic Board is the right place for these discussions and that the ToR and membership could be expanded and evolve to cover this element where necessary.

**Euston Area Plan Management Board****24<sup>th</sup> March 2014, 1.00pm – 2.30pm** Camden Town Hall Extension, Argyle Street**In attendance**

Ed Watson (EW), LBC

Charles Snead (CS), TfL

Martin Scholar (MS), GLA

Donald Horner (DH), Network Rail

Paul Gilfedder (PG), HS2

Mary-Ann Lewis (MAL), PM

Richard Wilson (RW), LBC

Jessica Gibbons (JG), LBC

**Note**

1. **Welcome, introductions and apologies**
  - Apologies from Colin Wilson (GLA), Martin Scholar (GLA), Sarah Hunter (HS2), Richard McGreevy (TfL) and Lucinda Turner (TfL).
2. **Minutes of previous meeting**
  - All noted the completed actions and notes from the last meeting.
  - HS2 briefing for Leader proposed for 24<sup>th</sup> March and briefing for Boris potentially on 15<sup>th</sup> April.
3. **EAP representations and suggested responses**
  - MAL summarised the representations received on the Proposed Submission EAP.
  - HS2 comments focused around language used to describe mitigation measures, requesting text to be reworded.
  - Text suggestions on the whole not agreed with. EAP suggest including clarifications to wording relating to the description of proposed mitigation measures in the HS2 ES and Bill, but noting that Camden will continue to seek to influence final mitigation measures and agree appropriate provision
  - HS2 requested removing Meanwhile Uses policy text, but do not propose to remove, as helpful to have an established policy approach – slight change in emphasis suggested to ensure approach is clear. EW noted that businesses were keen to ensure there is an established policy on meanwhile uses.
  - Suggested approach to remaining issues from community groups and EH were broadly agreed.
4. **HS2 & Network Rail updates**
  - PG confirmed that HS2 has noted the SoS's announcement on the need for HS2 to look at a level deck design working with Network Rail and the local community.
  - DH noted that NR and HS2 are considering governance options and will report internally to their Boards on this in a month
  - EW questioned how Camden will feed into this?
  - PG stated that it will be considered but no decisions yet
  - EW – is the EAP an appropriate delivery strategy for Euston in light of the Growth Task Force report?
  - PG thought it probably was and noted that there were no timescales as yet for working up the level deck scheme
  - PG and DH confirmed that if level deck scheme is progressed it would require additional provisions to be added to the Bill and a revised Environmental Statement and appropriate consultation on this.

## 5. Strategic Board and Management Board role

- MAL ran through a suggested agenda for the Strategic Board and slides describing implementation options for EAP development.
- Existing EAP board structures could be slightly amended to reflect the usual approach which includes key landowners on the Board itself. Working groups would report into the Boards.
- Agreed to issue the schedule of representations, consultation statement and evaluation table to the Strategic Board.
- Agreed to hold next EAP Board date in diaries and to take a call on next steps further down the line.

## 6. AOB

- A meeting was held about a scheme being promoted by Richard Burton – a travelator above Euston Road. RW suggested the scheme should go to the next Euston Road Project Board for discussion.  
EW requested confirmation from TfL that the Crick Institute site is not being considered as a worksite for Crossrail 2.