

## Euston OAPF Strategic Board

**5<sup>th</sup> October 2012, 2.00pm – 3.00pm**

Leaders Office, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Camden Old Town Hall, Judd Street, WC1H 8EQ

### In attendance

**Chair:** Cllr Sarah Hayward, (SH), LBC - Board Member  
 Douglas Oakervee (DO), HS2 - Board Member  
 Stewart Murray (SM), GLA (GLA Substitution Board Member)  
 Cllr Valerie Leach (VL), LBC  
 Rachel Stopard (RS), LBC  
 Ed Watson (EW), LBC  
 Michele Dix (MD), TfL  
 Rupert Walker (RW), Network Rail  
 Michael Hurn (MH), DfT  
 Colin Wilson (CW), GLA  
 Martin Scholar, (MS), GLA  
 Paul Gilfedder, (PG), HS2  
 Mary-Ann Lewis (MAL), Euston Area Plan PM

### Meeting Note

	Action
<p><b>1. Welcome, introductions and apologies</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Apologies from Sir Edward Lister, GLA</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All to note</li> </ul>
<p><b>2. Minutes of previous meeting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All noted the completed actions and notes from the last meeting.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All to note</li> </ul>
<p><b>3. Consultation update and review of materials</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- SH requested changes to the wording of the consultation website text to ensure the text is clear that the production of the Area Plan for Euston and the results of the consultation will be used to develop a plan for the area whether HS2 is progressed or not.</li> <li>- MH suggested adding a reference to the underground under draft objective 9 – “Enhancing existing public transport”.</li> <li>- MD requested clarification of text relating to the first key issue listed which refers to the need to influence HS2 to “consider difficult options for station design which make a better environment above and around the station for residents, businesses and visitors”. It was agreed to clarify that this should refer to “different and technically difficult options”.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MAL to alter website text.</li> <li>- MAL to alter text in consultation materials.</li> <li>- MAL to alter text in consultation materials.</li> </ul>

	Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– MD also requested clarification of the language used for the objectives in relation to the request for “new streets”. It was agreed to alter the phrase “at grade” to something more readily understood by the public.</li> <li>– All agreed that with these amendments the materials were suitable to be progressed through Camden’s Cabinet for agreement for public consultation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– MAL to alter text in consultation materials.</li> <li>– All to note</li> </ul>
<p><b>4. Area Plan Boundary</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– EW presented the updated boundary paper and the Management Board recommendation to retain the boundary as existing.</li> <li>– MD restated TfL’s concerns with not including the area up to St Pancras within the boundary of the plan to help promote better access between Euston and St Pancras and also in recognition of the emerging desire to locate a Crossrail 2 station in the area. MD also felt that the HS2 remit should include Crossrail 2 provision.</li> <li>– EW and SH both stated that Crossrail 2 is not confirmed and any new safeguarding would supercede Area Plan issues. The promotion of Crossrail 2 within HS2’s remit/ Hybrid Bill should be taken forward outside of the Euston Area Plan process through discussion between TfL and DfT directly. MH and DO agreed that the Crossrail 2 relationship with HS2’s remit should be agreed separately with the DfT.</li> <li>– SH reiterated that adding to uncertainty in the area with Crossrail 2, which is not a committed project, would not be helpful and also highlighted that people are free to comment on the boundary in the November consultation.</li> <li>– The Board agreed the Management Board recommendation to retain the existing draft boundary.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– All to note</li> </ul>
<p><b>5. Euston Area Plan AAP/local plan status implications</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– EW outlined the rationale for the production of the Opportunity Area Planning Framework as an Area Action Plan/local plan document and the outstanding request for more funding from HS2 to cover the cost of this extra work.</li> <li>– DO noted that the CEO of HS2 would like a more detailed breakdown of the estimated costs for this work.</li> <li>– The Board agreed with the Management Board recommendation that the OAPF be progressed as an Area Action Plan/Local Plan, now known as the Euston Area Plan.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– MAL to provide more detailed breakdown of the cost estimate for the additional work associated with production of an AAP</li> </ul>
<p><b>6. HS2 station design and liaison</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– EW highlighted the need for better collaboration on station design between HS2 and the Area Plan team.</li> </ul>	

	Action
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– DO noted this, and highlighted the necessity of resolving how they rebuild the station. PG added that the design and sifting of station options has taken longer than anticipated but HS2 recognise the need to engage with the project team over the coming months to discuss emerging station designs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– HS2 to organise regular update meetings on station design progress for the project team to ensure emerging aspirations for the Area Plan are taken into account in the station design process.</li> </ul>
<p>7. AOB</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– All agreed to publish notes of the Strategic Board and papers. The Board requested that the Management Board considers the most appropriate mechanisms for publishing minutes and papers from the Strategic Board meetings, and procedures where there are commercial/sensitivities involved which would prevent publishing items.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Management Board to consider process for publishing notes and papers of the Strategic Board.</li> </ul>