

Euston Management Board

12th June 2017

Camden Old Town Hall, Committee Room 4

Time: 11.30am – 1pm

Attendees: David Joyce (LBC) (chair); Cavendish Elithorn (DfT); Tom Venner (HS2); Dushen Naidoo (NR); Kerry Bangle (HS2); Isabelle Adams (Crossrail 2); Lucinda Turner (TfL) Mary-Ann Lewis (LBC); Richard Wilson (LBC); Martin Cowie (GLA); Umesh Gorasia (DCLG)

Welcome, introductions, apologies	ACTION
Apologies from Juliemma McLoughlin (GLA), Martin Cowie representing GLA	
Minutes of the last meeting	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minutes agreed 	
Updates	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DJ introduced the new Management Board set up to new attendees and explained the purpose of the refresh – all agreed it was helpful. 	
HS2 update	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tom Venner presented slides which were taken to ESSRB and went through the more detailed appendices with the Board. Discussion on height and the restrictions of the London View Management Framework (LVMF). Need discussion on options as soon as possible. The presentation indicated more flexibility in timing of key decisions which DJ welcomed. Not needing to confirm the location of piling for OSD until December is very helpful. LT noted that TfL still have work to do on buses and taxis – work is underway now to understand future requirements – future provision for taxis is very challenging to predict. IA noted that providing rank and stopping facilities for taxis together could work. DJ highlighted that the Camden aspiration is to put bus facilities onto the street. LT stated TfL understood the aspiration but note that they can't be moved onto Euston Road but there could be other options that allow for better locations which they will look into. LT confirmed there is an options study being done that will be taken back to ESSRB – study due at the end of June. IA noted that the options studies informing the masterplanning work have not been released. TfL need to consider the detail of these to inform any comments. TV explained the masterplan evaluation criteria development process and noted all will be involved in process. MAL raised the need to clearly have criteria reflecting contributions to homes and jobs. All agreed that the criteria were sufficient subject to some minor final amendments. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GLA confirm LVMF timescales TfL to report back on Bus options study (due late June) HS2 to confirm when options studies can be released HS2 to issue final agreed version of evaluation

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DJ asked when the piling and concourse discussion would come to the fore. TV noted the options sifting process would be step by step. Masterplan process should be dictating where piles go. CE noted the need to ensure the process didn't "time out". 	<p>criteria and confirm dates for collaborative evaluation</p>
<p>Network Rail update</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dushen noted that Network Rail are awaiting further information on decision making timetable from DfT this year. Timing of development is key and there is a question whether the NR station could start to be redeveloped before 2033. DN noted that this would require NR solving the problem of keeping the operational railway running. CE noted that Treasury would be reluctant to committing money too far in advance of delivery. Need to ensure time lag between NR process and HS2 masterplanning process is managed to allow flexibility. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All to note
<p>AOB</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	

Euston Management Board

5th July 2017

5 Pancras Square

Time: 2pm – 3.30pm

Attendees: Cavendish Elithorn (DfT) (chair); Tom Venner (HS2); Dushen Naidoo (NR); Isabelle Adams (Crossrail 2); Lucinda Turner (TfL); Mary-Ann Lewis (LBC); Karen Galey (LBC); Martin Cowie (GLA); Umesh Gorasia (DCLG); Paula Hirst (HS2); Gabi Code (Wilkinson Eyre)

Welcome, introductions, apologies	ACTION
Apologies from David Joyce (Mary-Ann Lewis representing LBC), Juliemma McLoughlin (GLA), Martin Cowie representing GLA	
Minutes of the last meeting	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TV to update on outstanding actions in next couple of days • MC update on LVFM update – GLA considering whether to make a call for new views and noted process is unlikely to remove any views. 	TV to provide update
MDP selection process update	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tom Venner provided an update on process 	Confidential item
HS2 joint masterplanning – option selection, scenarios and next steps	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paula Hirst provided an update on HS2’s masterplanning process and highlighted that this process was not intended to produce a detailed design for the station • Gabi Code from Wilkinson Eyre presented on work to date, this included Working assumptions that there would be station entrances on all sides and where possible providing open spaces at entrances. Discussion points included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ TV raised questions about the quality of Euston Square Gardens as an open space. LBC highlighted the need to consider this in the context of its existing setting and potential improvement in future, noting the issues currently caused by the bus station and access roads in their current form. They also highlighted the valuable aspects of the space including the trees and the green open nature of the space. ○ Pedestrian modelling presented considers passenger numbers. Need to understand what degree OSD influences passenger movements and interchange in future modelling. ○ TfL stated that they would find the complete dispersal of bus stops extremely challenging but that work to date suggests that the number of bus stands can be reduced. 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ There was discussion about the need for flexibility in planning for future taxi provision to provide for private hire vehicles and black cabs. ○ There was also discussion about provision of interchange in other stations and TfL agreed to consider and circulate examples of stations that work well. 	<p>TfL to circulate examples of stations or elements of stations that work well</p> <p>TfL to report to Board on outcome of surface transport requirement work</p>
<p>AOB</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None 	

Euston Management Board

1st August 2017

5 Pancras Square

Time: 2pm – 3.30pm

Attendees: David Joyce (chair); Cavendish Elithorn (DfT); Tom Venner (HS2); Dushen Naidoo (NR); Isabelle Adams (Crossrail 2); Daniel Knol (TfL); Mary-Ann Lewis (LBC); Richard Wilson (LBC); Karen Galey (LBC); Martin Cowie (GLA); Umesh Gorasia (DCLG); Paula Hirst (HS2); Kathryn Buchanan (Wilkinson Eyre)

Welcome, introductions, apologies	ACTION
Apologies from Juliemma McLoughlin (GLA), Martin Cowie representing GLA, Lucinda Turner (TfL), Daniel Knol representing TfL	
Minutes of the last meeting	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MC requested a change to the notes on LVMF to more accurately reflect current LVMF process 	MAL to edit notes
MDP selection process update	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tom Venner provided an update on process Discussion where the aspirations of the wider stakeholders are picked up, such as UCL. Camden’s Developer’s Forum was noted as a good place for this. TV and IA noted that they would be keen on engaging with this Forum in future. 	Camden to consider in future agenda planning
Euston Station Area Planning Brief – Consultation Update	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAL provided an overview of the consultation results. A draft of the consultation report will be circulated to the Board for review this month. 	MAL to circulate draft consultation report to EMB
HS2 joint masterplanning – option selection, scenarios and next steps	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Paula Hirst provided an update on HS2’s masterplanning process and the masterplan framework assumptions. TfL requested that London Underground & surface transport (AP3) and Crossrail 2 station requirements agreed under the High Speed Act as part of the are added as a framework assumption. PH presented options for the masterplan and explained the assessment process which is due to complete by the end of August and information presented to the next Euston Management Board. PH confirmed that there are a number of sub variables not being assessed at this stage but would be considered at a later date. MC highlighted the need to look at how the core variables and sub variables relate to outcomes. TfL questioned how the transport variables are considered in the process. 	<p>All agreed the framework assumptions subject to TfL’s suggested edits being made.</p> <p>Options assessment results to next EMB</p> <p>All to note</p> <p>HS2 to review one option to line with</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DJ noted the need to retain a green presence on Euston Road and serious concerns about one option shown being progressed as an option at all. PH noted that land use would be considered at the next stage of the process – MAL requested the opportunity to review land use. 	<p>DJ's comments and amend – subject to this all agreed options to progress for assessment</p>
<p>AOB</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 	